implementation of the Urban Ceiling Act,1 976;

whether under those guidelines, State Governments are permitted to allow the sale of excess land to private builders; and

(b) if so, whether the sale of excess land by M/s. Narayanswamy & Sons to M/s. Reevajeetu Builders in Bangalore was justified under the Act and Rules?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): fa) With a view/ to resolving difficulties faced in the implementation of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976, Government of India have issued a series of guidelines to the State Governments and Union Territories from time to time, clarifying the intent and purport of the provisions of the Act.

(b) In the Guideilnes issued under Section 20 of the Act, it hag been mentioned that there is no objection to exempt vacant land held by persons in excess of the ceiling limit, in the public interest so that they may transfer them to registered housing cooperative So-—- cieties or Group Housing Cooperative Societies for the purpose of constructing dwelling units subject to several conditions. The State Governments were also advised that there is no objection if the land holder who is permitted under Section 21 of the Act, to retain excess vacant land for the construction of dwelling units for the weaker sections of the society, to associate a builder or collaborator to construct the dwelling units and dispose-of them.

(c) Although the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act 1976 was enacted by the Central Government, it is implemented by the State Governments through the competent authorities appointed by them for the purpose. Thus, the case comes under the jurisdiction of the State Government.

Intensive Mango Development Project in Karnaiaka

309. SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWA-MY: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Karnataka Government has requested the Centre *vide* letter No. AHD 122AFP 87 dated 26th February, 1988 for sanction of a intensive mango development project with assistance from U.K.; and

(b) if so, what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SHY AM LAL YADAV): (a) and (b) Project proposals on mango development have been received from Karnataka and other States except Uttar Pradesh. Once the proposal from Uttar Pradesh Government is received, Multi State Intensive Mango Development Project will be developed for being posed for External Assistance.

RaH transit system for Hyderabad and Secunderabad

310. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOW-DHURY; Will the Minister of UR-i BAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government consideration for setting up of a light Rail Transit System for the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad;

(b) if so, whether the proposal has since been finalised and blue print has been prepared; and

(c) the funds allocated for the purpose, if any?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEV ELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (c) Government of Andhra Pradesh have appointed M/s. RITES, New Delhi as consultant for preparation of Techno-Eqonomic Feasibility Report for Light Rail Transport System for Hyderabad and Seeunderabad.

Telecast of film on Jaiprakash Narayan

311. SHRT RAOOF VALIULLAH: DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJI-Nl MAHISHI:

Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that prominent journalists have protested against Doordarshan for not telecasting a film on Jayprakash Narayan;

(b) whether the telefilm hag been screened by the Experts Committee, if not, what is the reason and why was the permission not granted and at what level;

(c) which are the other telefilms rejected in the last two months by Doordarshan and the reasons for the same?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE, MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI H.K.L. BHAGAT); (a) News item to this effect was published in a sectiOn of the Press.

(b) The film was neither a telefilm nor a programme commissioned for production by Doordarshan. It was a film offered to Doordarshan for telecast. The film was previewed by Doordarshan as per usual practice and not found suitable for telecast for technical reasons.

(c) No telefilm has been rejected by Doordarshan in the last two months.

Sub-titles in English for Hindi Films

312. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Doordarshan propose to provide subtitles in English for the Hindi Films shown on T.V. to facilitate the Non-Hindi viewers;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASING (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) No, Sir.

(h) Does not arise.

(c) Sub-titling Hindi feature films in English will benefit only a limited election of English knowing viewers among those who do not understand Hindi. Moreover, the process of subtitling a film is very expensive and cumbersome and needs a lot of professional efforts and experience.

Workers participation in Management

313. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) when was the scheme of workers' participation in management announced; and

(b) what are the names of the public undertakings which have implemented the scheme up to the Board of Directors' level and with what results?